Approved For Release 2001/08/27: CIA-RDP60-00442R000100030028-1

Dual Comp.

OGC Has Reviewed

25X1A9a

Revision of Memo to

Ref: RB-501

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

points listed in reference, but because it can be contended that a situation of this nature, arising out of the peculiar necessities and techniques of intelligence operations, is not within the intent of the statutory prohibition. It is also pertainent that is not considered by the Agency as a Government employee and does not receive the employments of Government employee and does not receive the employment of Government insurance, etc. The third point in reference, that his salary is wholly from private contributions, might also be used in establishing an arguable position.

Legal Counsel says that he would have an arguable case not because of the

Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIA-RDP60-00442R000100030028-1

The have referred occasionally to the fact that corporations and universities which undertake contract work for the Government, and thus derive part of their income from it, hire retired officers and that the question of dual compensation does not arise in those cases. Legal Counsel points out that there is a difference between CIA and such corporations and institutions in that the latter were not created by the Government and, presumably, would be in business without Government support, though their volume business might be less.

in the future on the subject of dual compensation; nor of guaranteeing that, if challenged, he could successfully defend his position. It would be possible to ask in writing for a ruling from the General Accounting Office.

Nowever, the ruling might well be unfavorable and, even if favorable, would not be binding on any court in which the case might possibly come later.

I am sorry that a more affirmative and favorable guidance cannot be obtained, but this particular subject is one about which there seems to be considerable nervousness.